MSW CSWE Competencies Assessment

Measure	FCAI		Foundation Field	ield	Capstone		Advanced Field	d
Measure Benchmark	Correctly answer 50%	r 50%	Score a minimum of	m of	Score a minimum of	n of	Score a minimum of	n of
	(or higher) for each set	ach set	Competent(3) in all	ı all	Meets Expectations(4) in	ons(4) in	Competent(3) in all	all
	of questions		ratings related to	Ö	each Competency	су	ratings related to	0
			Competency				Competency	
CSWE Competencies	Percentage	Score	Percentage	Score	Percentage	Score	Percentage	Score
	Benchmark		Benchmark		Benchmark		Benchmark	
1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional	80%	75%	80%	100%	80%	97.56%	80%	100%
Behavior (SLO's 4,5,6,7)					3			
2 Engage Diversity and Difference in	80%	88%	80%	100%	80%	95.12%	80%	
Practice (SLO's 2,4,5,6,7)								97.14%
3 Advance Human Rights and Social,	80%	75%	80%	100%	80%	92.68%	80%	
Economic, and Environmental Justice							9	94.44%
(SLO's 2,4,6,7)								
4 Engage in Practice-informed Research	80%	88%	80%	100%	80%	85.37%	80%	
and Research-informed Practice								94.44%
(SLO's 3,5,6,7)								
5 Engage in Policy Practice (SLO's 2,6,7)	80%	63%	80%	100%	80%	87.80%	80%	100%
6 Engage with Individuals, Families,	80%	88%	%08	100%	80%	90.24%	80%	100%
Groups, Organizations and Communities								
(SLO's 1,5,7)								
7 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups,	80%	88%	80%	100%	80%	92.68%	80%	
Organizations and Communities								97.22%
8 Intervene with Individuals, Families,	80%	100%	80%	100%	80%	90.24%	80%	
Groups, Organizations, and Communities								96.97%
(SLO's 1,3,5,7)								
9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals,	80%	63%	80%	100%	80%	90.24%	80%	97.14%
Families, Groups, Organizations, and								
Communities (SLO's 1,3,5,6,7)								

MSW Student Learning Outcomes (SLO's)

Miegaure	FCAI		Foundation Field	ield	Capstone		Advanced Field	eld
Measure Benchmark	Correctly answer 50% (or	50% (or	Score a minimum of	m of	Score a minimum of Meets	of Meets	Score a minimum of	m of
(SLO scores calculated by obtaining the mean of related CSWE Competency scores)	higher) for each set of questions	et of	Competent(3) in all ratings related to Competency	n all ratings petency	Expectations(4) in each Competency	n each	Competent(3) in all ratings related to	n all to
Student Learning Outcomes	Percentage Benchmark	Score	Percentage Benchmark	Score	Percentage Benchmark	Score	Percentage Benchmark	Score
1 Critically appraise social work theories as they pertain to working with individuals	80%	84.75%	80%	100%	80%	90.85%	80%	97.83%
families, groups, communities, and								
organizations.		3						
(CSWE 6,7,8,9)								
2 Analyze social and economic justice issues	80%	75.33%	80%	100%	80%	91.87%	80%	97.19%
and the ingredients that constitute human								
rights. (CSWE 2,3,5)								
3 Critically analyze interventions, programs	80%	84.75%	80%	100%	80%	89.63%	80%	96.44%
processes, and outcomes to improve practice								
with individuals, families, groups and								
communities at all levels. (CSWE 4,7,8,9)								
4 Integrate cultural, gender, and other special	80%	79.33%	80%	100%	80%	95.12%	80%	97.19%
life circumstances into social work practice.								
(CSWE 1,2,3)								
5 Communicate social work interventions at	80%	84.29%	80%	100%	80%	91.64%	80%	97.56%
the individuals, family, group, community,								
and organizational levels during experiential								
learning, case presentations, and written								
documents.								
(CSWE 1,2,4,6,7,8,9)								
6 Evaluate ethical values or dilemmas.	80%	75.33%	80%	100%	80%	91.46%	80%	97.19%
(CSWE 1,2,3,4,5,9)								
7 Demonstrate professional behavior in	80%	80.89%	80%	100%	80%	91.33%	80%	97.48%
accordance with NASW Code of Conduct.								
(CSWE 1-9)								

MSW Educational Program Experience (Implicit Curriculum)

From MSW Spring 2019 SWEAP Exit Surveys

Student responses based on the following scale:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Below are the mean scores calculated for each section and each question:

	Commitment to Diversity	8.13
1	The social work program was committed to diversity among its students.	8.30
2	The social work program modeled diversity among its faculty and staff.	7.55
3	Faculty and staff of the social work program modeled respect for difference.	8.25
4	The social work program provided an environment where students learned about differences.	8.30
	The social work program provided a learning environment where students valued and respected	
5	diversity.	8.25

	Admissions Policies and Procedures	8.13
1	The social work program's criteria for admission were clearly presented.	8.00
2	The social work program's admissions policies and procedures were reasonable.	8.50
3	The social work program's admissions process was engaging and informative.	7.90

	Advisement, Retention, and Termination Policies	7.51
1	The social work program provided adequate opportunities for advisement	7.70
2	The social work program provided high quality academic advisement	7.40
3	The social work program provided high quality career advisement	6.75
	The social work program's policies and procedures provided students with the support necessary	
4	to successfully complete the program.	7.80
5	The social work program's policies and procedures clearly articulated expectations for students.	7.89

1	The social work program provided students with opportunities to participate in the formulation and modification of academic program policies.	7.40
1	The social work program provided students with opportunities to participate in the formulation	,,,,
2	and modification of policies related to student affairs.	7.55
3	The social work program provided opportunities to participate in student organizations.	8.55
4	The social work program supported student development of leadership skills.	8.05
	The bosts. Work program supported stadent development of reducismip skins.	0.00
	Faculty Control of the Control of th	8.53
1	The faculty modeled the behaviors and values expected of professional social workers.	8.45
2	The faculty were qualified to teach the courses to which they are assigned.	8.55
3	The faculty were accessible to students.	8.40
4	The faculty were engaging in their instruction.	8.50
5	It was evident to me that the faculty were active in their research and scholarship.	8.60
6	The faculty supported the social work program's mission and goals.	8.65
		1
	Administrative Structure	7.67
1	When I had a question or concern related to the social work program, I knew who to talk to.	7.80
2	When I had a question or concern related to the social work program, I felt I was heard.	7.55
	When I had a question or concern related to the social work program, I received an appropriate	
3	response.	7.65
	Resources	8.22
1	The learning environment provided by the social work program was appropriate.	8.40
2	Class size supported learning.	7.55
3	The library resources provided by the college/university were appropriate.	8.65
4	The technology used by the social work program worked well.	8.10
5	The technology used by the social work program was sufficient.	8.15
	The social work program provided students the opportunity to communicate with fellow	
6	students outside of courses.	8.45
160	Field Education	8.45
2000	The field education faculty/ staff, modeled behaviors and values expected of professional social	0.73
1	workers.	8.35
2	The field education faculty/staff were qualified for their roles.	8.55
3	The field education faculty/staff were accessible to students.	8.35
4	The field education faculty/staff supported the social work program's mission and goals.	8.50
5	The role of the field instructor was clear.	8.45
6	The role of the field liaison was clear.	8.25
7	The role of the field education staff was clear.	8.74
8	The policies and procedures related to field education were clear.	8.40