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At the height of the cold war, from the 1950s to the early 1960s, the United States government embarked on a series of civil defence initiatives centred on the home fallout shelter. Calling on ‘American’ traits of enterprise and independence, shelter advocates sought an accessible and pleasurable way to help citizens prepare for nuclear war by transforming the home fallout shelter into an ideologically charged national do-it-yourself project. The government requested citizens to furnish their own security, and fallout shelters presented homeowners with a do-it-yourself activity that combined home improvement with family safety. Do-it-yourself provided both men and women with traditionally gender-appropriate tasks that strengthened domestic identity and offered a sense of contained purpose and control in increasingly uncertain times. Such expectations were carried into the construction of the home fallout shelter and perpetuated gender stereotypes in the post-nuclear world—literally building them into a concrete form. Despite public and private initiatives, however, fallout shelters permeated America’s post-war consciousness more than its physical landscape; few Americans actually built shelters. Nevertheless, do-it-yourself helped promote the idea of security, while revealing larger cold war insecurities of daily life.
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projects provided a curative and creative outlet from the workaday world for both sexes, they allowed men to demonstrate competence and strength—major markers of masculinity throughout the twentieth century.¹

In safeguarding the nuclear family from nuclear attack, men assumed the role of capable protectors and providers. They did so at a time when masculinity was in considerable flux, and an emphasis on domesticity as well as certain masculine behaviours appropriate to corporate conformity replaced the wartime emphasis on the super-masculine military man. For women, do-it-yourself security relied on informed consumption and gender-normative domestic duties. According to civil defence literature, preparedness resided in a well-stocked larder and ‘emergency housekeeping’, responsibilities essential to women’s role as homemaker. Even though this emphasis took place at a time when women were returning to the workplace at greater rates than at any other time since the Second World War, they remained housekeepers and childcare providers first. Such expectations were carried into the construction of the home fallout shelter and helped perpetuate gendered stereotypes into the post-nuclear world—literally building them into a concrete form.²

In a world increasingly perceived as uncertain, the do-it-yourself home fallout shelter signified the solidity of domestic ‘American values’ and safety. Just as the government advocated political containment to minimize the destructive power of the Soviet Union and the atomic threat, historian Elaine Tyler May has suggested that ‘domestic containment’ was ‘now the key to survival in the nuclear age’. The do-it-yourself shelter placed the suburban home and family on the front lines of national defence. It promoted the idea of security, while revealing larger cold war insecurities of daily life. The do-it-yourself fallout shelter was the indispensable space that people hoped never to use.³

Laying the Foundation: United States Shelter Policy

In an attempt to counteract the alleged Soviet threat of world domination through nuclear annihilation, the federal government under the leadership of President Harry S. Truman established the Federal Civil Defense Administration (FCDA) in 1951. Drawing on the success of the British use of bomb shelters in or near individual houses during the Second World War, the government embarked on a series of civil defence initiatives based on the idea of the private bomb shelter. The shelter not only promised protection and the comforts of home, but also marked its owners as patriotic. It drew on the image of the American frontier, and especially of the log cabin, as a bastion against attack. ‘Every home a fortress!’ declared Civil Defense director Leo A. Hoegh in 1958. ‘That can well be our watchword as we strive to attain the freedom won so dearly by our pioneer forbears’.⁴

According to the prodigious government and popular literature, shelters ensured not only the survival of one’s own family, but also that of the American people. Dr Edward Teller, labelled the father of the hydrogen bomb, believed that without adequate civil defence ‘the United States would cease to exist’.⁵ Moreover, shelters were part of a wider strategy to ensure the continuation of American dominance in a post-nuclear world. ‘If we have to start over again with another Adam and Eve’, asserted Georgia Senator Richard Russell, ‘then I want them to be Americans and not Russians, and I want them on this continent and not in Europe’.⁶

Lack of congressional funding thwarted national shelter initiatives, however, and interest turned instead to the more economical alternatives of mass evacuation and the elementary school initiatives of ‘duck and cover’.⁷ The development after the Second World War of the hydrogen bomb, and the increased awareness of the deleterious effects of radioactive fallout, however, forced a reappraisal of these strategies. Hiding under school desks or running from the blast would no longer protect Americans from the deadly toxic cloud, which, after the initial explosion, could travel for miles. Facts About Fallout (1955), a Civil Defense Administration booklet, noted that ‘normal’ amounts of radioactivity were not dangerous, but too much radiation could be lethal. ‘If you are exposed to it long enough’, it explained, ‘IT WILL HURT YOU! IT MAY EVEN KILL YOU’.⁸ Nuclear fallout necessitated protective spaces and Civil Defense Director Frederick ‘Val’ Peterson recommended a far-reaching national fallout shelter programme. Dissent, the extreme cost of the programme—estimates ranged from $20 to $40 billion—and suspicion of
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state ‘interference’ in daily life, derailed congressional approval, and as a result, the government encouraged independent action. In 1958 President Dwight D. Eisenhower issued a National Shelter Policy advocating citizens’ responsibility for their own protection and emphasized the merits of private shelter construction for homeowners.

The Eisenhower administration promoted this ‘do-it-yourself’ shelter policy through visual and printed media, including films and exhibitions such as the 1952 ‘Alert America’ campaign, whose ten trailers travelled across the country filled with civil defence dioramas and films. Popular magazines also promoted civil defence, and in November 1958, Good Housekeeping published a full-page editorial, ‘A Frightening Message For a Thanksgiving Issue’, explaining how once the atomic bomb exploded, ‘your only hope of salvation is a place to go’. It encouraged Americans to build family fallout shelters, and urged its readers to contact the government for free shelter plans ‘that you can make yourself’. That there were fifty thousand requests is not surprising since the perceived threat of Soviet aggression increased in the late 1950s. The Soviet development of Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles (ICBMs) and the 1957 launch of the space satellite Sputnik, putting the USSR ahead of the USA in the ‘space race’, fomented fears. The crisis over Berlin brought these fears to a climax when, on July 25, 1961, President John F. Kennedy addressed the country on national television warning citizens of the potentially imminent dangers of nuclear attack. More forcefully than any president before him, Kennedy advocated shelter construction, stating, ‘To recognize the possibilities of nuclear war in the missile age without our citizens knowing what they should do and where they should go would be a failure of responsibility’. He situated a national shelter programme as a central component of the nation’s security and a powerful deterrent to the Communist threat. ‘We shall neither be Red nor dead’, he declared, ‘but alive and free’. Over the next several months, Kennedy proposed a comprehensive civil defence policy, including a National Shelter Program that he hoped would, within five years, be capable of protecting the nation in the event of a nuclear attack. The high cost of the programme and continued doubts over the value of shelters, however, forced Kennedy, like Eisenhower before him, to encourage citizens to take defence into their own hands.

‘Everybody’s talking about shelters’, Life asserted in January 1962. In the aftermath of Kennedy’s address, fears intensified and interest in shelters skyrocketed. The Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization (OCDM) distributed twenty-two million copies of The Family Fallout Shelter (1959). The booklet, with an image on the cover of a man laying concrete blocks for his basement shelter, explained the potential dangers of nuclear fallout. It indicated potential fallout areas and explained how the radioactive dust from a mushroom cloud could travel hundreds of miles from the site of the explosion; within twenty-four hours, fallout could blanket the United States. It encouraged do-it-yourself initiative and presented the home shelter as the best protection against fallout, providing detailed shelter plans and illustrations that included step-by-step instructions to guide the shelter builder through the construction process. The OCDM also promoted The Family Fallout Shelter through advertising campaigns combining do-it-yourself as well as family ‘togetherness’. Advocating shelters as ‘your one defence against fallout’, one poster depicted a young, casually dressed couple

building their shelter together.  

A woman, wearing shorts and a sleeveless top, reads from the government booklet, while a man, dressed in a tee shirt and holding a spirit-level, lays concrete blocks.

The Rise of Do-it-Yourself: The Pleasure of Leisure

The rise of post-war leisure and of do-it-yourself built on the increased popularity of hobbies in the nineteenth century. Leisure activities rose in the years between the two World Wars (1918–1939), when recreation began to signify a fundamental element of the ‘good life’. Hobbies such as do-it-yourself gained in popularity during the Great Depression, when, with unemployment rates reaching nearly 25 percent, many Americans faced idle hours and reduced incomes and made do with things they could fashion at home. It was the Second World War, however, that helped transform do-it-yourself into a national pursuit. Magazines promoted do-it-yourself as ‘necessary but fun’, as home-front shortages of skilled workers forced men and women to learn how to repair and to decorate their own homes.

During the 1950s, millions of Americans partook in more leisure activities than ever before. The major media extolled the business of leisure as an economic force, reaching new monetary heights in the post-war period. According to the 1959 Life article, ‘A $40 Billion Bill Just for Fun’, by the late 1950s, Americans were spending more money on leisure than on new housing and automobiles combined.

Do-it-yourself, identified by the United States Department of Commerce as including painting, decorating, gardening and carpentry, was a major part of that leisure. Projects ranged from furniture making to boat building, and in 1953, 11 million do-it-yourselfers—mainly men—sawed through 500 million square feet of plywood, brushed on 100 million gallons of paint, applied 150 million rolls of wallpaper, and laid enough asphalt roof tiles to cover the entire state of Oregon. By the mid-1950s, do-it-yourself was the largest of all American hobbies and the third most popular form of recreation for married men—surpassed only by reading and watching television. In August 1954, Time highlighted the public enthusiasm for do-it-yourself and suburban living when it featured on its cover an eight-armed man astride his lawnmower, happily engaged in several do-it-yourself projects at once.

In the post-war period, new and more easily managed tools and materials encouraged amateurs to tackle home improvement tasks. Classes, how-to books, and in-store demonstrations instructed novice do-it-yourselfers on the basics of home repair. In addition, do-it-yourself, like other hobbies, offered a means of self-expression and a path to personal fulfillment. ‘Be your own carpenter…and you’ll find it easier to be yourself’, promised a Shopsmith tool advertisement. As Margaret Mulac asserted in Hobbies: The Creative Use of Leisure (1959), Americans could follow paths to happiness through productive leisure. Linking ‘success’ with individual determination in life as well as individual do-it-yourself projects, she declared, ‘How satisfying your life can be is strictly up to you. This is the original Do-It-Yourself project. If you want a good life, you have to make it yourself.

Do-it-Yourself Security and the ‘Good Life’

During the post-war period, the American dream of the ‘good life’ of home ownership, abundant goods, and quality leisure time was shadowed by the spectre of Soviet aggression. This potential threat struck at the ideological heart of America: the family home. As Elaine Tyler May writes, during the cold war the family and, by extension, the home they occupied, offered a ‘psychological fortress’ against the uncertainties and
anxieties of the age. Through what were regarded as ‘American’ traits of initiative and independence, shelter advocates sought an accessible and pleasurable way to help Americans prepare for nuclear war. They linked security with do-it-yourself home improvement, and thereby hoped to transform the psychological fortress into a real one.

The rise in do-it-yourself and the ‘good life’ was tied to an increase in home ownership and suburbanization. When developer William Levitt declared, ‘no man who owns his own house and lot can be a Communist. He has too much to do’, he acknowledged the longstanding ideological and political power of the idea of the private, suburban home in the nation’s consciousness, an idea that came to fruition during the post-war period. In 1947, Levitt opened the suburbs to the middle class and offered a solution to the post-war housing shortage. He revolutionized the housing market by rationalizing the production process of thousands of four-room, seven-hundred-square-foot Cape Cod style houses that were situated on sixty-by-one hundred foot lots located in Levittown, Long Island. By buying homes in Levittown, some Americans could now participate in the ‘American Dream’ that positioned the single-family home with a yard at its centre. The unfinished attics of Levitt’s houses encouraged home improvement activities, but cartoonist Morris Brickman lampooned the lengths to which some do-it-yourselfers went when making their housing choices. ‘It’s TOO perfect’, a house shopping couple declares, ‘…nothing left for us to do ourselves’.
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By constructing, repairing, or redecorating a part of their own home, new American homeowners, like mythologized frontiersmen, could become even more personally invested in defending their homestead and homeland.
In addition to protection, the discourse surrounding do-it-yourself shelters also drew on notions of craft, moral character, and virtues of thrift that can be traced back to the Arts and Crafts movement. Magazines such as *Better Homes and Gardens* exulted the money-saving virtues of rolling up one’s sleeves by proclaiming ‘home shops… pay their way,’ not only ‘in actual money saved on home repair and improvement’, but also ‘in satisfaction’. Do-it-yourself shelters also offered an ambitious building project in keeping with those routinely presented throughout the 1950s in hobby and lifestyle magazines, ranging from laying a patio to installing a swimming pool. For ‘do-it-yourself buffs’, *Time* explained, ‘there are few projects too difficult to try’. In 1951, for example, *Better Homes and Gardens* published a story about a young couple constructing a house in the Minneapolis suburbs, entitled, ’Inexperienced as We Were, We Built our Home.’ The same year, *Popular Mechanics*, a monthly hobby magazine with a hands-on approach, ran a four-part series that followed the exploits of freelance journalist Tom Riley and his wife Vanita. The series, written by Tom, was entitled, ‘How I Built the Popular Mechanics Ranch House.’ The cover beckoned to do-it-yourselfers, declaring, ‘Want a House? Then Build it Yourself as I Did!’ That Tom had little carpentry experience was just the point—if he could do it anyone could.

Magazines also advocated building smaller structures such as garden houses, summer homes, and cabins as do-it-yourself projects. In 1961, *Popular Mechanics* featured a six-hundred-square-foot ‘Cabin in a Kit’ with materials included and ready to be ‘assembled by a do-it-yourselfer with or without professional help’. Plans for these smaller structures indicated that building them, like a shelter, was possible for the average home carpenter. Popular authors confirmed the ease and accessibility of building small shelters. In the *Fallout Shelter Handbook* (1962), Chuck West advised that the first requirement for shelter construction was ‘a sharp pencil and a lot of paper’. After that, common sense (‘check all materials for nails and termite damage’) and a little elbow grease could do the trick. As a result, the do-it-yourself fallout shelter was presented to the American public as an accessible and enjoyable way for homeowners to protect themselves by preparing for the future. In reality, like most home improvement projects, the skills required surpassed those of the average do-it-yourself enthusiast.

**Life Underground: The Home Shelter**

Home fallout shelters came in several varieties. They ranged from lean-tos, basic basement concrete boxes, and metal pipes lowered into garden holes, to much...
more elaborate shelters such as those belonging to the wealthy and to celebrities, showcased in the popular press. Alfred Gwynne Vanderbilt, Groucho Marx, Dinah Shore, and Pat Boone, whom a New York gossip columnist considered to be among the ‘most fallout-proof citizens in Southern California’, all installed elaborate retreats. 39 Time reported on a Jacksonville millionaire who equipped his shelter with a supply of wine and a pool table, while Popular Mechanics featured the bomb-proof ‘House for the Atomic Age’ built by a Hollywood contractor and equipped with an ‘underground sanctuary’, reached via a swimming pool. The magazine also featured a deluxe shelter in Los Angeles designed by industrial designer Paul Lazlo. The shelter offered complete living quarters for eight, full kitchen and bath, spiral staircase, elevator, electric generator, ventilating system, water and oxygen tanks, and welding torches. 40

While elaborate shelters promised the comforts and amenities of home until after the two-week ‘all clear’ signal sounded, most do-it-yourself shelters had few amenities and offered an uncomfortable and unappealing version of post-nuclear life. On average, typical do-it-yourself shelters were small. The common basement concrete shelter featured by the OCDM, for example, offered four feet of head clearance and, although presented as capable of housing up to six persons, it measured only sixty square feet. The walls were constructed of rough, unpainted concrete blocks, and for ventilation, shelter dwellers had to rely on either small gaps left in the shelter walls or hand-operated air blowers. Bunk beds were commonly used to save space and lanterns or flashlights provided the only illumination. Shelters also had no running water, so tight-lidded containers sprinkled with chlorine sufficed as latrines. People who spent time in test shelters, ranging from a few days to several weeks, complained of excessive heat, boredom and foul smells. A rendering of a do-it-yourself fallout shelter published by Time in 1959 provides an idea of the more austere qualities typical of shelter life [7]. 41

Nonetheless, in an effort to make the idea of building shelters attractive, shelter advocates also promoted the do-it-yourself fallout shelter as an additional space that could enhance the value of the home and the
quality of life within it, even if nuclear war never came. The shelter, it was suggested, could be used as a workshop, a darkroom, a wine cellar, a guestroom, or even a child’s play room.42

‘If You’re Not All Thumbs’:
Building the Home Shelter

To facilitate the construction of do-it-yourself shelters, government literature, popular magazines, and educational films provided detailed plans and step-by-step instructions. Walt Builds a Family Fallout Shelter: A How-To-Do-It Project (1961), for example, a film co-sponsored by the National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) and the OCDM, followed Walt, an amiable, bespectacled older man, through the process of building his home shelter.43

Using the popular civil defence booklet The Family Fallout Shelter as his guide, Walt builds the ‘Basement Concrete Block Shelter’. The affordable model, estimated to cost approximately $150, required materials readily available in any hardware store and included: 535 solid concrete blocks, five bags of ready mix mortar, six wooden posts, 95 feet of board sheathing, and six pounds of nails. To build the shelter, Walt first draws a chalk guideline on the basement floor. He then lays a row of concrete blocks in wet mortar, affixes the wooden beams to the basement walls and, after waiting at least one day until the mortar in the block wall dries, installs a double layer of concrete blocks across the ceiling. Finally, he mortars the last row of blocks into position. As he explains, the shelter takes him only a few evenings and weekends to complete. ‘Anybody’, he notes, ‘who isn’t all thumbs can do it’. Walt’s reassuring message and his avuncular demeanour encouraged the average do-it-yourselfer to roll up his sleeves and start building.

For those not inclined to watch a movie or write away for booklets, mass-circulation magazines also provided do-it-yourself information. In September 1961, Life ran the lead story ‘Fallout Shelters: A New Urgency, Big Things to Do—and What You Must Learn’. The article optimistically promised that 97 per cent of the population could survive a nuclear attack if only they knew what to do when the bomb hit. Life endorsed the national call for shelters and superimposed an image of an exploding mushroom cloud over a letter written by President Kennedy. Kennedy encouraged readers to participate in a voluntary shelter programme for the good of themselves and America, by calling on civilian patriotism and self-reliance: ‘Nuclear weapons and the possibility of nuclear war are facts of life … [but] there is much that you can do to protect yourself—and in doing so strengthen your nation…. The ability to survive coupled with the will to do so therefore are essential to our country’.44

Life laid out a series of do-it-yourself plans ranging from the simple to the complex, complete with renderings of constructed shelters, detailed blueprints, and supply lists. It featured: the ‘Simple Room in Basement Built with Concrete Blocks’, the ‘Big Pipe in the Backyard Under Three Feet of Earth’, ‘A Double-walled Bunker for Safety Above Ground’, which ‘could be built by any enterprising do-it-yourself family’, and ‘A $700 Prefabricated Job to Put up in Four Hours [8]’.45 In keeping with the money saving message of do-it-yourself, the magazine estimated the shelters would cost one-third of those supplied and constructed by professional contractors.

Three months later Popular Mechanics published ‘You Can Build a Low-Cost Shelter Quickly’, which outlined plans for four shelters. Like Life, the article contained illustrations and detailed shelter plans. The most basic and least expensive shelter consisted of little more than a 4 × 6 foot wooden frame covered with sandbags. The ‘Under-the Patio’, the ‘Store-Away’, and the ‘Basic Sit-Down’ shelters provided
more space, and conceivably more protection, but necessitated more advanced do-it-yourself skills such as working with concrete. 46

Security in a Box: The Home Fallout Shelter Kit

Owing to the often complex and daunting shelter construction process, some companies eased the burden on the builder by manufacturing shelter kits. Just as the cake mix provided post-war housewives an error-free confection—all dry ingredients were pre-measured—so too did the shelter kit relieve men of the responsibility of carpentry expertise, not to mention endless trips to the hardware store for forgotten items. 47

The idea of do-it-yourself assembly using ready-made components was well known since the Berlinwork canvasses of the mid-nineteenth century. Kits of the post-war period offered an array of choices and encompassed everything from audio sets to paint by numbers. Hobby kits had grown into an economic force, expanding from a $44 million industry in 1945 to a $300 million one by 1953. In 1961, Life featured the assembly of the low cost Kelsey–Hayes shelter (the company was a major supplier to the automobile industry) as an additional civil defence option. Assembled from a kit of four modular steel panels, the 2 × 66 × 8 foot shelter, available at Sears that autumn, could reportedly be erected by two men in four hours with only a screwdriver and a wrench. Life’s picture series of Art Carlson and his adolescent son Claude effortlessly snapping the shelter together clearly expressed that building a shelter was not only easy but also fostered father and son relationships [9]. 48

Bonding and the Bomb: Fathers and Sons Build Shelters

The image of a father and son building a do-it-yourself shelter domesticated nuclear war. It endorsed the notion that all was well by making shelter construction part of everyday life, and helped normalize war preparations by representing a father and son engaged in everyday tasks such as cleaning the car or mending the garden fence. It was an appropriately gendered activity and provided boys with ‘wholesome outlets’ for their creative and youthful energies. Fathers engaging in do-it-yourself were deemed to set ‘a fine example’ for boys, especially at a time when society considered teenagers at high risk of juvenile delinquency and homosexuality. 49 Fathers were expected to provide positive role models for their sons, and failing to do so could have dire consequences. Images of fathers and sons working together abound in shelter literature, reinforcing these ideals [10]. 50

Building a shelter together could also provide quality time where the father could impart to his son ideas of...
Family Fallout: Femininity, Masculinity, and The Gendered Nature of Do-it-Yourself Preparedness

Like many other gender stereotypes depicted in mass culture, images of home repair in magazines and advertisements in the 1950s often reduced gender to stereotypical roles: men work with tools and build things while women open boxes and decorate.\textsuperscript{52} As the Carlson family demonstrates, the same can be said for home fallout shelters. Although both men and women participated in do-it-yourself projects, the responsibility of constructing the home shelter, at least according to the promoters, fell squarely on the man of the house. Depictions of male shelter builders dominated civil defence brochures and popular magazines. Men are almost invariably shown building the shelter or, once inside, actively operating equipment such as the air ventilator. Women, on the other hand, are depicted engaged in domestic tasks such as tending children, stocking the pantry, or decorating. An image of Ben Smith and his children, published in the 1961 \textit{Time} article ‘Civil Defense: The Sheltered Life’, depicts the typical division of labour promoted by shelter literature: Mr Smith and his son cement the shelter wall while his daughter paints it by painting a ‘picture window’ [12].\textsuperscript{53}
The highly domesticated vision of mid-century women, however, occurred during a time when the number of women in the workplace matched wartime highs, and by 1960 women were one-third of the nation’s workforce. Despite greater numbers of middle- and working-class women active in the labour force, and marking a significant shift in ‘women’s work’, many women still found themselves confronted by societal pressure that urged ‘traditional’ domestic roles and circumscribed career opportunities. Women were expected to be the primary—if not the sole—homemakers, housekeepers, and childcare providers. Not surprisingly, these gendered roles were repeated in fallout shelter literature. The extreme nature of the emergency, however, validated these roles and acknowledged the crucial significance of this type of unpaid domestic labour.  

Women provided safety and security through informed consumption and domestic tasks. From furniture to foodstuffs, shelter life demanded specialized goods. Castro Convertibles produced a space-saving ‘Jet Bed’, a foldaway metal bunk designed specifically for shelter use, and General Foods appealed to ‘families believing in preparedness’ with a protein-rich product called ‘Multi-Purpose Food’. This was consumption in the name of civil defence; cooking equipment, medical supplies, a battery-powered radio, flashlight, a can opener, sanitary napkins, (which could also double as bandages), toothache pills, deodorant, books, games for the kids, and tranquilizers were just some of the items recommended for shelter life. Women were responsible for making sure these and other items were purchased and ready. 

The well-stocked larder became a central metaphor for shelter preparedness. In his *Fallout Shelter Handbook* (1962), Chuck West provided tips for a successful shelter that included careful checking of items on a grocery list [13]. No campaign exemplified women’s role in shelter preparedness more than ‘Grandma’s Pantry’. Headed by the Federal Civil Defense Administration’s Director of Women’s Activities, Jean Wood Fuller, with the help of the National Grocers’ Association and the National Dietetic Association, the campaign linked American ‘heritage’ to cold war policy and sought to appeal to women’s role as nurturer by evoking nostalgic images of the past. Its promotional material included a drawing of a ‘traditional’ American kitchen with curtained windows, a cast iron stove, a circular hook rug, a large box of firewood, and shelves stocked with foodstuff. A caption read, ‘Grandma’s Pantry Was Ready—Is Your “Pantry” Ready in Event of Emergency?’  

Civil defence increasingly ‘militarized’ the family home, and historian Laura McEnaney has argued that women assumed the role of ‘atomic housewife’. In keeping with more ‘traditional’ gender roles, much shelter literature conflated cleaning up after nuclear attack with gender-normative ‘feminine’ duties such as everyday housework. Good housekeeping after the blast was also purportedly one of the best protections against fire (loose items could ignite from the heat), as well as fallout. In *How to Survive an Atomic Bomb* (1950), Richard Gerstell, using the language of domesticity, argued that unopened food was safe to eat after an attack, but could become ‘dirty’ if it came into contact with radioactive ‘dust’. Careful homemakers only need ‘wash the outside’ of packages for safety. The warning signs were clear: good housekeeping inside the shelter could mean the difference between life and death.  

While women protected the family home through domestic duties, men did so through construction, and the gendered nature of shelter building demanded that they fit into mid-twentieth century ideas of acceptable ‘masculine’ behaviour. According to historian Steven M. Gelber, ‘by the 1950s being handy had, like sobriety and fidelity, become an expected quality in the good husband’.  

Do-it-yourself furnished men with a paraphernalia of masculinity that forged their domestic identities.
Working with tools demonstrated masculine ideals of power and competence, ideals reinforced by a 1957 Better Homes and Gardens article featuring male film stars. The article pictured Rory Calhoun, Glenn Ford and George Montgomery, among others, mixing cement and working with table saws. According to the author, these men, whose success in ‘Western’ movies lent them an added aura of strength and competent masculinity, reputedly found ‘complete relaxation and enjoyment working with…tools’. Skill in the workshop signified maleness; ‘The hammer, saw, and quarter-inch electric drill’, Gelber argues, ‘became the emblems of the new masculinity, and men who refused to master them did so at some risk to their standing in the eyes of spouse and community’.

For many men, do-it-yourself also helped them grapple with the post-war ‘crisis of masculinity’ in which they wrestled with shifting gender roles, expectations, and the very meaning of maleness itself. In The Organization Man (1956), William H. Whyte lamented the loss of male identity and individualism in the wake of corporate conformity. Indeed, Jack Arnold’s novel and subsequent film, The Incredible Shrinking Man (1956), summed up the anxieties of many mid-century men who saw their masculinity disappearing before their very eyes. The older ideal of the ‘Heroic Artisan’, virile, independent, and in control of his own labour, was vanishing. ‘If we want to have men again’, Arthur Schlesinger Jr wrote, ‘…we must first have a society which encourages each of its members to have a distinct identity’. As men sought out other means to express their masculine identity, do-it-yourself, including fallout shelters, became an arena in which they could demonstrate their manhood. Through shelter construction, men reaffirmed their masculine identity by building protection not only for themselves, but also for the ‘weaker sex’ and for children.

Do-it-Yourself Dissent: The Dismantling of the Fallout Shelter Ideal

The debate over the merits of home fallout shelters raged throughout the Truman, the Eisenhower, and the Kennedy administrations. Despite considerable government propaganda, business investment, and the availability of detailed blueprints, fewer than 3 per cent of Americans built fallout shelters. A 1960 Gallup poll revealed that only 21 per cent of Americans had ever given any thought to building a home shelter, and the following year, when asked if they had made any changes to protect their home against nuclear attack, 95 per cent responded negatively. The results of a 1962 civil defence study conducted in the New York City area reinforced these findings:

While the overwhelming majority feel that their country would be in danger in a case of war, relatively few people feel that there is something which they themselves can do to protect themselves. Shelters are specifically rejected as a useful policy by about twice as many people as accept them; it is plain that the people...did not consider construction of either family or community shelters as a useful means of self-protection.

The reasons why Americans chose not to build shelters are a complex combination of economic, social, and political factors. Perhaps for some it was the high cost of shelter construction. For others, it
was lack of faith in their effectiveness. A 1961 letter to the editor of the *New York Times* condemned the ‘insanity of bomb shelters’, while other dissenters criticized the realities of shelter life, asking, ‘With the prospect of living like an animal, who would want to survive?’ Virtually useless as shields, shelters shared more in common with tombs than sanctuaries. Even the most skilled do-it-yourselfers could be forgiven for being doubtful about the efficacy of elaborate shelters against the threat of nuclear fallout. While presented as a straightforward project, the do-it-yourself shelter necessitated above-average carpentry skills. After rating shelters according to cost, reliability and predicted performance, the Consumers Union found none acceptable. Similarly, out of the thirty home shelters inspected by one civil defence official, twenty-seven failed to meet the woefully inadequate official requirements of basic fallout protection.

By the early 1960s people grew increasingly sceptical of the ‘myth of survival’. The arguments of many, including the Committee for a Sane Nuclear Policy (SANE), politicians, academics, unions, magazines, newspapers, and clergy alike highlighted the problems and contradictions inherent in America’s fallout shelter policy. Rabbi William F. Rosenblum warned against ‘the kind of thinking that will make moles and mice of men instead of human beings created in the image of God’. In November 1961, *Newsweek* asked, ‘Survival: Are Shelters the Answer?’ The article argued that they were not, and questioned the morality of the high cost of shelter construction which favoured the survival of only the wealthy. It also questioned the overly optimistic claims of shelter supporters and found them misleading: ‘The facts of nuclear war, fallout, and shelter life’, it declared, were ‘far more complex and sobering’, than previously admitted.

Many women played an active role in dissent. In 1961, a group of women from Berkeley, California urged citizens to mail *Fallout Protection: What to Know and Do About Nuclear Attack* back to the White House with a note asking for a ‘more positive approach to world problems’. Women in New York City also subverted the maternal image promoted in civil defence literature when they organized vocal protests against Operation Alert, a mandatory mock civil defence drill. The women, accompanied by their children, braved arrest and explained to reporters that ‘All this drill does is frighten children and birds. I will not raise my children to go underground’.

Increasingly, as Americans eschewed the ‘mole’ mentality, they considered peace as the only acceptable antidote to nuclear war. Margaret Mead’s 1961 *New York Times Magazine* article, which shared the same title with the *Newsweek* shelter story, also asked, ‘Are Shelters the Answer?’ and reported the change in public opinion. ‘Peace’, Mead declared, ‘no longer is an unobtainable ideal…but a necessary condition of continued human existence’. One could say that the government ‘design’ (in terms of propaganda) collapsed in the face of a population that remained unconvinced as to the effectiveness of the solution.

**Conclusion**

The home fallout shelter exemplified the struggle to reconcile the home as a site of safety with the home as a site in peril. In the short story ‘The Brigadier and the Golf Widow’ (1964), John Cheever evokes the encroaching dread suggested by the fallout shelter, and the extent to which the idea of it permeated cold-war American culture:

> Mrs. Pastern had bought the plaster-of-Paris ducks, the birdbath, and the gnomes in an attempt to give the lump in her garden a look of innocence; to make it acceptable—at least to herself. For, bulking as it did in so pretty and domestic a scene and signifying as it must the death of at least half the world’s population, she had found it, with its grassy cover, impossible to reconcile with the blue sky and the white clouds.

Building the family shelter drew on the gendered stereotypes associated with the ideal of post-war family life. ‘Traditional’ gender roles offered stability and safety in an era of change, an era shadowed by the threat of nuclear attack. The ideals of do-it-yourself supplied both men and women with appropriate male and female tasks that strengthened domestic identity and gave them a sense of contained purpose and control in an increasingly uncertain world. The home fallout shelter, though intended to reassure, however, was a site of anxiety. Shelters, even if built, could only provide symbolic security. The home fallout shelter was a paradoxical space that domesticated war by militarizing the family home. As such, it was doomed to fail in reality, even if it was to survive as an icon in post-war America.
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